



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/784,751 | 02/23/2004 | Timothy Daniel Kostar | 13DV-14085 (07783-0113) | 2241 |
| 31450 7590 05/08/2007 MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 100 PINE STREET P.O. BOX 1166 HARRISBURG, PA 17108-1166 | | | EXAMINER MATZEK, MATTHEW D | |
| | | | ART UNIT 1771 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 05/08/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/784,751

Applicant(s)

KOSTAR ET AL.

Examiner

Matthew D. Matzek

Art Unit

1771

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Matthew D. Matzek.

(3) _____.

(2) Dan Jenkins.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all discussed.

Identification of prior art discussed: all discussed.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant stated that the combination of Tani and Hillig would not result in the claimed article, because the combination would result in a stratified matrix, which is precluded by the claims. Examiner disagreed stating that Tani sets forth the claimed structure and provides for nonwoven support layers within the laminate eventhough it uses a different method of manufacture. Applicant proposed submitting a Declaration demonstrating that the process of making the invention of Tani can only result in areas of stratified matrix and that the use of chemical vapor infiltration provides the instant invention with a structure substantially free of continuous, stratified matrix rich areas .